#### **REVIEW SUMMARY**

Reviewer Name:	Applicant Name: SR1 College Preparatory and STEM Academy
Dates of Review: June 11-12, 2024	Total Score: 85.3

Please make sure you have signed the review at the end of each section of this document

(PLEASE E-SIGN THIS DOCUMENT, DO NOT SCAN)

Section I. Rubric for a Non-CMO Applicant without an ESP

	Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets	Does Not Meet	
				Standard	Standard	
		Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
		meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
		following:				
	Points Available	3	2	1	0	
В.	Parent, family,	Applicant	X Applicant has a	☐ Applicant does not	☐ Applicant has no	(2)
	and community	reserves a seat on its	parent and/or	have a parent and/or	method of soliciting	
	engagement, part	governing board	community advisory	community advisory	and considering input	SR1 Application
	1	specifically for a	body or council that	body or council that	from parents and	Pgs. 30 The applicant will
		current parent.	reports to the	reports to the	community members	organize a
			governing board. OR	governing board.	on the	community advisory
			Applicant has	AND Applicant's	implementation and	board consisting of
			another robust	other methods of	operation of the	parents, community
			method of soliciting	soliciting and	school.	leaders, educators,
			and considering input	considering input	☐ Applicant has no	business leaders, and
			from parents and	from parents and	process for accepting	other stakeholders.
			community members	community members	parent or student	The advisory board
			on the	on the	objections to any	will meet at least 4
			implementation and	implementation and	policy/ decision,	times per school
			operation of the	operation of the	procedure, or	-
			school.	school are weak.	practice.	year.

	Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
		Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Coore
		meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
		following:				
I	Points Available	3	2	1	0	
			☐ Applicant has a	☐ Applicant's	☐ Answer is too	
			clear process for	process for accepting	vague or confusing to	
			accepting parent or	parent or student	evaluate.	
			student objections to	objections is not		
			governing board	likely to be effective		
			policies and	OR is not applicable		
			decisions,	to all of the		
			administrative	following: policy/		
			procedures, and	decisions, procedure,		
			school practices.	and practice.		
C.	Parent, family,	☐ Plan to engage	☐ Plan to engage	☐ Opportunities for	☐ Engagement plan	(3)
	and community	parents is	parents is robust,	engagement may be	presents few or no	SR1 Application
	engagement, part	exceptional, with	with meaningful and	effective, but they	opportunities for	Pgs.4, 30,
	2	multiple, meaningful	effective	are not meaningful.	ongoing	The SR1 organization
		and effective	opportunities for	☐ Opportunities for	engagement.	has served students
		opportunities for	ongoing parent,	engagement omit	☐ Engagement plan	and families over 9
		ongoing parent,	student, and	any of the following:	presents no effective	years through after
		student, and	community	parents, students, or	strategies.	school and summer
		community	involvement.	community	☐ Parent or student	programming. SR1
		involvement.	☐ No parent or	members.	expectations are	engaged parents and
			student expectations		likely to prevent	stakeholders
			are likely to prevent		interested families	through 4 open
			interested families			forums, information
						sessions, and

Question	Exceeds Standard  Meets Standards and	Meets Standard  Meets all of the	Partially Meets Standard Meets any of the	Does Not Meet Standard Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the following:	following:	following:	following:	3.016
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		from being able to attend the school. OR Parent volunteer requirements include a waiver to consider individual circumstances.		from being able to attend the school.  Parent volunteer requirements do not include a waiver.  Answer is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	through community organizations. SR1 established relationships with several local colleges, schools, and agencies in MS.
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	5

Eligibility	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being ineligible.
Did the applicant score "does not meet" for any question?	YES □X NO	No

If yes, stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

Question	Exceeds Standard  Meets Standards and	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	21	18	9	0	
D. Planned activities, expenditures, and sustainability	□ Budget narrative is exceptionally detailed.	X Completed CSP subgrant budget form is attached.  Proposed subgrant does not exceed \$300,000 per year for 5 years.  The budget narrative is aligned to the budget form and clearly describes all planned activities, expenditures, and cost assumptions.  Planning versus implementation funds are clearly delineated in the budget and budget narrative.  Expenses proposed are reasonable,	□ Expenses are allowable but misallocated to either planning or implementation. □ Budget is miscalculated.	□ No CSP budget form. □ No CSP budget narrative. □ Subgrant exceeds \$300,000 per year or maximum number of years. □ Budget form and narrative are not aligned. □ Planning or implementation funds are not clearly delineated. □ Some planning expenses appear to exceed the 18-month maximum. □ Some expenses proposed are not reasonable.	(18) SR1 Application pgs. 334-359 The budget narrative details the cost of planned activities.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	21	18	9	0	
		allowable, and allocable.  Clear goals for the CSP subgrant align with the purposes of CSP.  Clear description of all major planned activities/ expenses to be supported with CSP subgrant funds.  Applicant justifies all activities as necessary to carry out the CSP subgrant program and purposes.  Applicant has a clear, effective sustainability plan, including how revenue will be replaced if need be.		□ Some expenses proposed are not allowable. □ Some expenses proposed are not allocable. □ Applicant's goals are not clear and/or do not align with the purposes of CSP. □ Activities/expenses are not justified or necessary. □ Sustainability plan is unclear or likely to be ineffective. □ Answer to any item is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	

Eligibility	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being ineligible.	
Did the applicant score "does not meet"?	☐ YES X NO	Meets criteria	

If yes, stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets	Does Not Meet	
			Standard	Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
E. Needs Analysis	X Very strong	☐ Attachment 6	☐ Some evidence of	☐ No evidence of	(3)
(1) Community	evidence of demand	presents clear	demand for school	demand for school	SR1 Application pgs.
support	for school <u>OR</u> school	evidence of demand	either in Attachment	presented.	141-190
	is already full or	for the school (e.g.,	6 or supplemental	☐ Description of	The applicant
	oversubscribed.	letters of support or	materials, but	local community	provides detailed
		intent to apply forms	whether charter	support and benefits	pictures of
		from families and	school will achieve	indicates school	community
		students). <u>OR</u>	and maintain	would be actively	engagement events
		Supplemental	enrollment	harmful to	and partnerships
		materials strengthen	projections is not	community.	within the
		the school's case for	clear.	☐ Answer is too	community of
		demand (e.g.,		vague or confusing to	Canton. The pictures
		information on		evaluate.	are of enrichment
		waiting lists, data on			programs, summer
		access to seats in			programs, and STEM
		high-quality schools			programs that

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		in feeder districts,			interest and
		and family interest in			challenge students.
		proposed specialized			
		instructional			
		approaches).			
		☐ Clear description			
		of local community			
		support and benefits			
		to the community.			
		☐ All evidence			
		indicates strong			
		likelihood the charter			
		school will achieve			
		and maintain its			
		enrollment			
		projections.			
(2) Projected	☐ Strong rationale	☐ Projected student	☐ Methodology is	☐ Projected	(3)
student	for the number of	enrollment is clear	clear and rationale is	enrollment is	SR1 Application pgs.
enrollment	students and grade	for duration of grant.	adequate, but	omitted for one or	2-5.
	levels served in year	☐ Methodology and	calculations are	more years of the	The projected
	one and the basis for	calculations for	incorrect.	grant period.	student enrollment is
	the growth plan, tied	enrollment and			to recruit students in
		growth are clear.			underserved

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
	directly back to the	☐ Clear rationale for		☐ Rationale is not	communities. In
	needs analysis.	the number of		supported by the	order to provide
		students and grade		needs analysis.	students with a high
		levels served in year		☐ Answer is too	quality education,
		one and the basis for		vague or confusing to	the applicant will
		the growth plan.		evaluate.	maintain a low
					teacher to student
					ratio. The small class
					sizes will afford
					teachers to provide
					students with a
					variety of
					instructional
					methods.
(3) Student	☐ Plans to establish	☐ Clear analysis of	☐ Description of why	☐ School's projected	
demographic	and maintain a	the school's	establishing a diverse	demographics are	(2)
S	racially and socio-	projected student	student body is	not clear or missing	SR1 Application pgs.
	economically diverse	demographics	unlikely is missing	for race or socio-	16-23.
	student body,	(including race and	one of the following:	economic status.	The current district
	including proposed	socio-economic	why it is unlikely that	☐ Description of the	demographics is
	strategies (that are	status) and a	the school will be	demographics of	100% Free and
	consistent with	description of the	able to establish and	relevant public	Reduced Lunch with
	applicable legal	demographics of	maintain a racially	schools from which	10% of the
	requirements) to	students attending	and socio-economic		population being

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
	recruit, admit, enroll,	public schools in the	diverse student body,	students are, or	students with
	and retain a diverse	local community in	how the anticipated	would be drawn, is	disabilities.
	student body are	which the charter	racial and socio-	not clear or missing	SR1 Proposal pg.30
	strongly likely to	school would be	economic makeup of	for race or socio-	The current student
	succeed based on	located and the	the student body will	economic status.	enrollment includes
	evidence presented.	school districts from	promote the	☐ Plans to establish	a racially diverse
		which the students	purposes of CSP, and	and maintain a	student body.
		are, or would be,	the anticipated	racially and socio-	
		drawn.	impact of the	economically diverse	
		☐ Clear description	proposed school on	student body,	
		of plans to establish	the racial and socio-	including proposed	
		and maintain a	economic diversity of	strategies (that are	
		racially and socio-	the public schools	consistent with	
		economically diverse	and school districts	applicable legal	
		student body,	from which students	requirements) to	
		including proposed	would be drawn.	recruit, admit, enroll,	
		strategies (that are		and retain a diverse	
		consistent with		student body are not	
		applicable legal		clear or are clearly	
		requirements) to		not legal.	
		recruit, admit, enroll,		☐ Description of why	
		and retain a diverse		it is unlikely school	
		student body <u>OR</u>		will establish a	
		clear and compelling			

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Caara
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		description of ALL of		diverse student body	
		the following: why it		is missing two or	
		is unlikely that the		more of the	
		school will be able to		following: why it is	
		establish and		unlikely that the	
		maintain a racially		school will be able to	
		and socio-economic		establish and	
		diverse student body,		maintain a racially	
		how the anticipated		and socio-economic	
		racial and socio-		diverse student body,	
		economic makeup of		how the anticipated	
		the student body will		racial and socio-	
		promote the		economic makeup of	
		purposes of CSP, and		the student body will	
		the anticipated		promote the	
		impact of the		purposes of CSP, and	
		proposed school on		the anticipated	
		the racial and socio-		impact of the	
		economic diversity of		proposed school on	
		the public schools		the racial and socio-	
		and school districts		economic diversity of	
		from which students		the public schools	
		would be drawn.		and school districts	

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Moots all of the			
		Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
Bullet A citable	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
				from which students	
				would be drawn.	
				☐ Answer is too	
				vague or confusing to	
				evaluate.	
(4) Robust	☐ School design was	☐ Clear description	☐ Description of how	☐ Description of	(2)
family and	family and	of how families and	families and the	engagement in the	SR1 Application pgs.
community	community led	the community were,	community were,	vision and design of	3-4, 24-26.
engagement	through a	are, or will be	are, or will be	the school is unclear.	The applicant held 4
plan	stakeholder visioning	engaged in the vision	engaged in the vision	Plan to engage	open forums,
	process.	and design of the	and design of the	families is unclear or	informational
		school, including	school, but no	families will clearly	sessions, partnered
		specific examples of	specific examples of	not be engaged.	with educational
		input incorporated	input incorporated	Plans omit more than	institutions, and
		into the vision and	into the vision and	one of the following:	businesses. Parents
		design.	design provided.	student recruitment,	provided input on
		☐ Clear plan to	☐ Plan to engage	admissions,	chosen curriculum
		meaningfully engage	with families is clear,	enrollment, and	and will have the
		with families and the	but it is unclear if it	retention.	opportunity to join
		community to create	will lead to strong	☐ Plans for student	The Parent/Guardian
		strong and ongoing	and ongoing	recruitment,	Teacher
		partnerships.	partnerships.	admissions,	Organization. The
		☐ Clear and effective	☐ Plans omit one of	enrollment, and	applicant engaged
		c.car and effective		, , , ,	students through the

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		plan to foster a	the following:	retention do not	Community Oriented
		collaborative culture	student recruitment,	include more than	Opportunities for
		involving the families	admissions,	one of the following:	Learning Coalition.
		of all students,	enrollment, and	English learners,	
		including	retention.	students with	
		underserved	☐ Plans for student	disabilities, or	
		students, in ensuring	recruitment,	students of color.	
		input in decision-	admissions,	☐ No description of	
		making.	enrollment, and	how	
		$\square$ Clear and effective	retention do not	the applicant has	
		plan for student	include one of the	engaged or will	
		recruitment,	following: English	engage families and	
		admissions,	learners, students	the community to	
		enrollment, and	with disabilities, or	develop an	
		retention that will	students of color.	instructional model	
		engage and		to serve the targeted	
		accommodate		student population	
		families from various		and their families,	
		backgrounds,		including students	
		including English		with disabilities and	
		learners, students		English learners.	
		with disabilities, and			
		students of color,			
		including by			

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Caarra
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		providing enrollment			
		and recruitment			
		information in widely			
		accessible formats			
		(e.g., hard copy and			
		online in multiple			
		languages; as			
		appropriate, large			
		print or braille)			
		through widely			
		available and			
		transparent means			
		(e.g., online and at			
		community			
		locations).			
		☐ Clear description			
		of how			
		the applicant has			
		engaged or will			
		engage families and			
		the community to			
		develop an			
		instructional model			
		to serve the targeted			

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	30016
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		student population			
		and their families,			
		including students			
		with disabilities and			
		English learners.			
(5) Responsive	☐ Description	☐ Clear description	☐ Description	☐ Description of	(2)
operations	provides examples of	of how the	considers community	responsive	SR1 Proposal pgs.
plan	how community	applicant's plans for	assets but does not	operations plan	<i>37-38.</i>
	feedback was directly	school operations	discuss how the	vague or confusing.	SR1 established key
	incorporated into the	reflect the needs of	school's location will	☐ How operations	roles with
	operations plan.	students and families	facilitate access for	plan reflects the	stakeholders in
		in the community,	the targeted student	needs of students	Canton. The non-
		including	population.	and families is not	school hours reduce
		consideration of		clear.	learning loss while
		district or community		☐ Description does	providing teachers
		assets and how the		not consider either	with appropriate
		school's location, or		community assets or	time to meet
		anticipated location		how the school's	rigorous academic
		if a facility has not		location will facilitate	standards. St. Paul
		been secured, will		access for the	AME Zion Church
		facilitate access for		targeted student	was selected as the
		the targeted student		population.	temporary site based
		population.		. ,	on community
					members, staff

Question Points Available	Exceeds Standard  Meets Standards and  meets all of the  following:  3	Meets Standard  Meets all of the following:	Partially Meets Standard Meets any of the following:	Does Not Meet Standard Meets any of the following:  0	Score input, and a history
					of supporting the quality of education.
(6) Impact on desegregatio n efforts	☐ School's plans will actively increase racial or socioeconomic integration.	□ School district in which school is, or will be, located or from which students are, or would be, drawn is not under an ongoing court order or voluntary agreement to create and maintain desegregated public schools OR clear description of effective steps the applicant has taken or will take to ensure the school will not hamper, delay, or negatively affect any desegregation efforts	☐ Description of steps taken to ensure the school will not hamper, delay, or negatively affect any desegregation efforts is clear but steps are of unclear utility. ☐ Description of steps taken to ensure that the proposed charter school would not otherwise increase racial or socio-economic segregation or isolation in the schools from which the students are, or	□ Description of steps taken to ensure the school will not hamper, delay, or negatively affect any desegregation efforts is vague or missing. □ Description of steps taken to ensure that the proposed charter school would not otherwise increase racial or socio-economic segregation or isolation is vague or missing. □ Evidence suggests school will	(2) SR1 Proposal pg. 38-39. Every aspect of SR1's enrollment and recruitment practices will comply with local, state, and federal standards.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	6
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		in the community in	would be, drawn is	exacerbate racial	
		which the school is,	clear but steps are of	segregation either by	
		or would be, located	unclear utility.	hampering, delaying,	
		and the districts from		or negatively	
		which students are,		affecting	
		or would be, drawn,		desegregation efforts	
		including efforts to		or by otherwise	
		comply with a court		increasing racial or	
		order, statutory		socio-economic	
		obligation, or		isolation.	
		voluntary efforts to		☐ School is in a	
		create and maintain		district with an	
		desegregated public		ongoing voluntary or	
		schools.		court order, as	
		☐ Effective steps		confirmed by	
		taken to ensure that		Mississippi First, and	
		the proposed charter		did not respond to	
		school would not		questions as	
		otherwise increase		required.	
		racial or socio-			
		economic			
		segregation or			
		isolation in the			
		schools from which			

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets	Does Not Meet	
			Standard	Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	Score
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	Score
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		the students are, or			
		would be, drawn.			
Subtotal	·				32

Eligibility	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being ineligible.
Did the applicant score "does not meet" for any question?	□ YES XNO	Meets Criteria

If yes, stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets	Does Not Meet	Score
			Standard	Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
	following:				
Points Available	6	4	2	0	
F. Transportation	☐ Transportation	☐ Clear description	☐ Clear description	☐ Transportation	(4)
	plan includes not	of an effective	of an effective	plan is vague or	SR1 Application pg.
	only daily	transportation plan	transportation plan	missing.	45.
	transportation but	to provide reliable	to provide reliable	☐ Transportation	The district will
	also transportation	and safe daily	and safe daily	plan will not ensure	provide bus
		transportation for all	transportation for		transportation

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
	following:				
Points Available	6	4	2	0	
	for field trips and	students within the	some students within	reliable and safe	through a private
	extracurriculars.	charter school's	the charter school's	daily transportation	vendor for daily
	☐ Transportation	geographic school	geographic school	for any students.	transportation and
	plan extends beyond	district attendance	district attendance	☐ Plan will not	for fieldtrips.
	the charter school's	zone to prevent	zone.	ensure	
	geographic school	transportation		transportation is not	
	district attendance	becoming a barrier to		an access barrier for	
	zone.	charter school		students.	
		access.			

Eligibility	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being ineligible.
Did the applicant score "does not meet"?	☐ YES X NO	Meets criteria

If yes, stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

Question	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets Standard  Meets all of the following:	Partially Meets Standard Meets any of the following:	Does Not Meet Standard Meets any of the following:	Score
G. Enrollment disclosures to families	Applicant has no policies and requirements, nor any services that are or are not provided, that could impact a family's ability to enroll or remain enrolled OR has a process to waive such policies and requirements or provide accommodations to families needing services that could impact a family's ability to enroll and remain enrolled.	Applicant fully and clearly explains plans to disclose, as part of the enrollment process, any policies and requirements (e.g., purchasing and wearing specific uniforms and other fees, or requirements for family participation), and any services that are or are not provided, that could impact a family's ability to enroll or remain enrolled in the school (e.g., transportation services or participation in the National School Lunch Program).	Applicant explains disclosure plans clearly but these plans omit either policies and requirements or services.  Applicant explains disclosure plans but these happen postenrollment.	Applicant's response is vague or confusing.  ☐ Applicant's plans to disclose policies, requirements, or services are not clear or are inadequate.  ☐ Applicant's plans will actively mislead families.	(2) SR1 Proposal pg. 40- 41. The uniform requirements and policy will be discussed with families during enrollment. Families will be provided with the website to order uniforms.

Eligibility	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being ineligible.	
Did the applicant score "does not meet"?	☐ YES X NO	Meets criteria.	

If yes, stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

## **Application Requirements Summary Score**

SUBSECTION	TOTAL POINTS
В	(2 of 3)
С	(3 of 3)
D	(18 of 21)
E	(14 of 18)
F	(4 of 6)
G	(2of 3)
Points Earned	43
Possible Points	54
Percentage Points Earned	79.6
(Points Earned/Possible Points X 100)	73.0
Percentage Points Earned X 50%	39.8

Reviewer Name: Date: June 11-12, 2024 Reviewer Signature:

#### **Section II. Assessment of Risk**

#### A. ESP or Virtual School

Model	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as meeting Risk  Category 1.	
ESP	☐ YES X NO	Meets Criteria	
Virtual School	☐ YES X NO	Meets Criteria	

# **B.** Financial History and Practices and Findings and Questioned Costs

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
Question 3	☐ Applicant provides multiple, clear examples of managing federal grants or subgrants. ☐ Applicant provides a clear description of how the fiscal management of multiple federal grants was successful—e.g., within budget, clean	☐ Applicant provides a clear narrative of managing at least one federal, state, or private grant or subgrant. ☐ Applicant provides a clear description of how the fiscal management of the grant was successful—e.g., within budget, clean	☐ Applicant provides evidence of managing one or more grants or subgrants, <b>but</b> it is unclear as to whether the fiscal management was successful.	☐ Applicant provides no evidence of managing grants or subgrants. ☐ Grant program was clearly fiscally mismanaged. ☐ Answer is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	(2) SR1 Proposal pgs. 42-43. SR1 Quarter Ending  The applicant provides a clear narrative and gives evidence of managing at least one grant.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
	audit, timely reporting and drawdowns, etc.	audit, timely reporting and drawdowns, etc.			
Question 4	☐ Applicant has at least one financial staff person or contractor with a CPA.  X Applicant's financial staff or contractors have compelling experience.	☐ Applicant has at least one financial staff person or contractor with a four-year degree in accounting. ☐ Applicant's financial staff or contractors have some experience.	☐ Applicant has at least one financial staff person or contractor with a two-year degree or certificate in bookkeeping.	☐ Applicant does not have qualified financial staff or contractors. ☐ Answer is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	(3) SR1 Proposal pg. 43. The finances are managed daily by the Director of Finance and Administration, she has over 20 years of accounting experience.
Question 5	Not applicable	☐ Applicant has never been suspended or debarred.	☐ Applicant has been suspended or debarred in the past but has been removed from the list of excluded parties.	☐ Applicant is currently suspended or debarred, or it is not clear whether the applicant is, or has been, suspended or debarred.	(2) SR1 Proposal pg. 44. The applicant has never been suspended.
Question 6	☐ Applicant has never been designated a high-	☐ Applicant is not designated a high-	☐ Applicant was previously considered a high-	☐ Applicant is currently considered a high-risk grantee.	(3) SR1 Proposal pg. 44.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
	risk grantee by any grantor.	risk grantee by any current grantor.	risk grantee but is not longer.		The applicant has never been designated as high risk by any grantor.
Question 7	X Fully developed financial policies, procedures, and practices that have been fully implemented.	☐ Fully developed financial policies, procedures, and practices that have not been fully implemented BUT clear and effective timeline and plan for full implementation.	□ Partially developed financial policies, procedures, and practices that have only been partially implemented or not yet implemented BUT clear and effective timeline and plan for full development and implementation. □ No financial policies, procedures, or practices BUT very strong timeline and plan for full	□ Partially developed financial policies, procedures, and practices AND no clear or effective timeline and plan for full development and implementation. □ No financial policies, procedures, or practices AND weak or no clear timeline and plan for full development and implementation.	(3) SR1 Proposal pg. 44- 45 The applicant has fully developed financial policies, procedures, and practices that have been fully implemented.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
			development and implementation.		
Question 8	☐ Applicant currently has very strong insurance coverage.	☐ Applicant has adequate insurance coverage to protect organization in the event of misallocation of funds. OR Applicant has clear plan to purchase adequate coverage before receiving CSP or within 30 days of receiving CSP.	□ Some insurance coverage to protect organization in the event of misallocation of funds but coverage is not complete/adequate and applicant has no plan to purchase remaining needed coverage.	☐ No insurance coverage. ☐ Answer is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	(2) SR1 Proposal pg. 46. SR1 Application pg. 45 The applicant has adequate insurance to protect the organization.
Subtotal					15

## Eligibility & Risk Assessment 2a

Eligibility or Risk	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being either ineligible or meeting Risk Category 2.	
Is applicant currently debarred or is it unclear if the applicant is currently debarred?	□ YES X NO	Meets criteria	

Eligibility or Risk	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being either ineligible or meeting Risk Category 2.
Had applicant even been debarred?	☐ YES X NO	Meets Criteria
Did the applicant score "does not meet" for question 3, 4, 6, 7, and/or 8?	□ YES X NO	Meets criteria

If applicant is currently debarred, stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

## For applicants responding to questions 9-23 ONLY

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
Question 9			*informational only*		
Question 10	Not applicable	X Applicant uses professional accounting software appropriate for a school to record accounting transactions. OR Applicant intends to purchase such software, or hire a contractor with such software, within 30 days of receiving CSP.	Not applicable	☐ Applicant does not use professional accounting software appropriate for a school (e.g., uses Microsoft Excel, a paper ledger, or a check register) and will not purchase professional software or hire a contractor with such software.	(2) SR1 Proposal pg. 46. The applicant uses the MIP Abilia professional accounting software.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
				☐ Accounting	
				software used is not	
				named.	
Question 11	☐ Applicant	☐ Applicant	☐ Applicant has the	☐ Applicant cannot	
	separately tracks	separately tracks (or	capability to track	separately track	
	grant funds as a	will track) grant	funds separately by	grant funds.	
	routine practice by	funds as a routine	source but does not	☐ Applicant is	
	coding expenditures	practice by coding	do so routinely.	unsure if they can	(2)
	by grant source, type	expenditures by	☐ Applicant must	separately track	SR1 Proposal pg. 46-
	of expense, and	grant source and	undertake a special	funds.	47.
	related program	type of expense	review of	☐ Applicant's ability	The applicant
	when the expense is	when the expense is	expenditures after	to produce detailed,	separately tracks
	approved.	approved.	the expense has	accurate reports at	grant funds as a
		☐ Applicant can (or	been paid to	any time in unclear.	routine practice by
		will be able to)	determine whether it		source. Each month
		produce detailed,	is attributable to a		the transactions are
		accurate reports	grant.		reviewed for
		quickly (automated	☐ Applicant requires		accuracy.
		or fewer than 24	more than 24 hours		
		hours).	to produce detailed,		
			accurate reports of		
			grant expenditures.		

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets	Does Not Meet	Score
			Standard	Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
Question 12	☐ Applicant's system	☐ Applicant has a	☐ Applicant has a	☐ Applicant has no	(2)
	is very efficient and	clear, effective	system for checking	system to check	SR1 Proposal pgs.
	effective.	system for checking	grant reports but it	grant reports.	47-48.
		grant reports.	may be ineffective.	☐ Applicant's system	SR1 stays within
				is ineffective.	budget and keep
				☐ Answer is too	financial systems
				vague or confusing to	current and accurate
				evaluate.	to adhere to Federal
					and Generally
					Accepted Accounting
					Principles and
					Federal Regulations.
Question 13	☐ Financial	X Financial	☐ Financial	☐ Financial	(2)
	statements are (or	statements are (or	statements are (or	statements are (or	SR1 Proposal pg. 48-
	will be) reviewed and	will be) reviewed and	will be) reviewed and	will be) reviewed and	49.
	approved by the	approved by the	approved by the	approved <u>less</u>	The finance team
	head of the entity or	head of the entity or	head of the entity or	<u>frequently than</u>	enters the financial
	unit <u>at least monthly</u>	unit <u>at least monthly</u>	office <u>at least</u>	monthly by the head	transactions each
	and reviewed and	and reviewed and	<u>monthly</u> but not	of the entity or unit.	month, the Director
	approved <u>more</u>	approved <u>at least</u>	reviewed and	☐ Financial	of Finance then pulls
	<u>frequently than</u>	<i>quarterly</i> by board or	approved by board or	statements are <u>not</u>	the monthly report
	<i>quarterly</i> by board or	board committee.	board committee,	<u>routinely reviewed</u> or	of each grant to
	board committee.		either <u>at least</u>	are only reviewed for	ensure transactional
					accuracy and

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets	Does Not Meet	Score
			Standard	Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		☐ Review process is	<u>quarterly or</u>	accuracy through	financial reporting
		adequate to identify	<u>otherwise</u> .	audits.	accuracy.
		and quickly correct		☐ Review process is	
		inaccuracies or fraud.		not likely to identify	
				and quickly correct	
				inaccuracies or fraud.	
				☐ Answer is too	
				vague or confusing to	
				evaluate.	
Question 14-21 (to	☐ Applicant has	X Applicant has	☐ Some procedures	☐ Applicant does not	
be evaluated	strong financial	adequate financial	and controls are	have adequate	
holistically)	procedures and	procedures and	adequate but some	financial procedures	(2)
	controls.	controls to ensure	must be further	or controls, or	SR1 Proposal pg. 49-
		good fiscal	developed.	financial procedures	50.
		stewardship and		or controls are	The applicant has
		prevent fraud.		unclear.	financial procedures
				☐ Answer is too	to prevent fraud.
				vague or confusing to	
				evaluate.	
Questions 22-23	*informational only*				
Subtotal					10

## Risk Assessment 2b

Risk	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as meeting Risk Category 2.
Did the applicant score "does not meet" for any question?	□ YES X NO	Meets criteria

## For applicants responding to Findings and Questioned Costs questions ONLY

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets	Does Not Meet	Score
			Standard	Standard	
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
Audit findings		☐ School audit (and	☐ School audit	☐ School audit	
		ESP audit, if	(and/or ESP audit, if	(and/or ESP audit, if	
		applicable) noted an	applicable) identified	applicable) expresses	
		unqualified or	deficiencies, but	a qualified, modified,	
		unmodified opinion.	these were not	adverse, or	
			significant.	disclaimed opinion.	(2)
	Not applicable	If applicable,	☐ School audit	☐ School audit	(3)
		☐ ESP appears in	(and/or ESP audit, if	(and/or ESP audit, if	Not applicable
		good financial health.	applicable) identified	applicable) noted	
			non-material	significant	
			weaknesses.	deficiencies.	
				☐ School audit	
			If applicable,	(and/or ESP audit, if	

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and	Meets all of the	Meets any of the	Meets any of the	
	meets all of the	following:	following:	following:	
	following:				
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
			☐ ESP financial	applicable) noted	
			health presents some	material weaknesses.	
			concerns.	☐ School audit	
				(and/or ESP audit, if	
				applicable) identified	
				non-compliance	
				material to the	
				financial statements	
				of federal or state	
				awards.	
				☐ School audit	
				(and/or ESP audit, if	
				applicable) noted	
				findings and	
				questioned costs.	
				☐ School audit	
				(and/or ESP audit, if	
				applicable) noted	
				prior-year findings	
				which have not been	
				corrected.	
				If applicable,	

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
				☐ ESP financial health is weak and presents concerns that ESP will not continue to be a going concern.	
Subtotal					3

## Risk Assessment 2c

Risk	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as meeting Risk Category 2.
Did the applicant score "does not meet" for any question?	□ YES X NO	Meets criteria

# C. Delayed Openings or Failure to Launch (as applicable)

If the applicant marked "not applicable," skip this section and continue to  ${\sf D}.$ 

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	6	3	1	0	
Question 24	☐ Applicant has never had a delayed school.	☐ All delayed schools have since been opened. OR Applicant has no more than one currently delayed school, the explanation for the delay is reasonable, AND there is a clear timeline for launch.	☐ Applicant has two or more delayed schools, BUT the explanation for the delay is reasonable, AND there is a clear timeline for launch.	☐ Applicant provides no rationale or no clear timeline for launching one or more currently delayed schools. ☐ Answer is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	(6) SR1 Proposal pgs. 53-54. Not applicable
Question 25	Not applicable	☐ All of applicant's schools have opened or are scheduled to open.	☐ Applicant has no more than one never-opened school, BUT the explanation for the failed launch is reasonable, AND the applicant did not use CSP funds on the school.	☐ Applicant spent CSP funds on one or more never-opened charters. ☐ One or more charters expired or were relinquished by applicant due to delays or any other reason and no reasonable	

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	6	3	1	0	
				explanation is provided.	
Subtotal	Subtotal				

## Risk Assessment 3

Risk	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as meeting Risk Category 3.
Did the applicant score "does not meet" for any question?	□ YES X NO	Meets criteria

## D. Past Performance (as applicable)

 ${\it If the applicant is a new operator with no operating schools, skip this section and continue to scoring.}$ 

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	12	9	6	0	
For new school applicants ONLY:	☐ Applicant's schools have exceptional performance academically,	☐ Applicant's schools, as a whole, have strong performance without	☐ Some applicant schools have strong or exceptional academic	☐ Applicant's other schools have low performance in two or more areas	SR1 Proposal pg. 55. Skip section, not applicable, it was not submitted with application.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	12	9	6	0	
	operationally, and	any notable	performance, but	(academic,	
	financially.	academic, financial,	some schools have	operational, or	
		or operational issues.	low academic	financial).	
			performance.	☐ Applicant has had	
			☐ Applicant schools,	a school closed for	
			as a whole, have	academic, financial,	
			strong or exceptional	or operational	
			performance in at	reasons.	
			least two areas	☐ Applicant has had	
			(academic,	serious contract	
			operational, or	violations leading to	
			financial) but low	authorizer	
			performance in one	intervention in the	
			area.	last three years.	
For replication and	☐ Applicant	Applicant meets the		☐ Applicant does not	
expansion applicants	significantly exceeds	definition of a "high-		meet the definition	
ONLY:	the definition of a	quality charter		of a "high-quality	
	"high-quality charter	school" as follows:		charter school."	SR1 Proposal pg. 58-
	school."	☐ shows evidence of	Not applicable	☐ If applying for an	59.
		strong academic		expansion grant,	Not applicable.
		results, which may		applicant did not	
		include strong		have strong	
		student academic		academic results or	

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	12	9	6	0	
		growth, on state or		increasing student	
		nationally recognized		achievement for	
		assessments;		subgroups for at	
		☐ has no significant		least three years.	
		issues in the areas of		☐ Answer is too	
		student safety,		vague or confusing to	
		financial and		evaluate.	
		operational			
		management, or			
		statutory or			
		regulatory			
		compliance;			
		☐ has demonstrated			
		success in			
		significantly			
		increasing student			
		academic			
		achievement,			
		including graduation			
		rates where			
		applicable, for all			
		students served by			

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	12	9	6	0	
Points Available		the charter school; and has demonstrated success in increasing student academic achievement, including graduation rates where applicable, for each of the subgroups of students, as defined in section 1111(c)(2), except that such demonstration is not required in a case in which the number of students in a group is insufficient to yield statistically reliable information or the results would reveal personally	•		
		identifiable			

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	12	9	6	0	
		information about an individual student.  If applying for an expansion grant, applicant showed evidence of strong academic results for at least three years.  If applying for an expansion grant, applicant showed success in increasing student achievement, including graduation rates, for subgroups for at least three			
		years.			
Subtotal					Not applicable

Eligibility & Risk Assessment 4

Eligibility or Risk	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as being either ineligible or meeting Risk Category 4.
If the applicant is applying for a new school grant, did the applicant score "does not meet"? [LEAVE BLANK IF NOT APPLICABLE]	□ YES □ NO	Choose an item.
If the applicant is applying for a replication or expansion grant, does the applicant school/ school model meet the definition of a "high-quality charter school"? [LEAVE BLANK IF NOT APPLICABLE]	□ YES □ NO	Choose an item.
If the applicant is applying for an expansion grant, did the applicant show three years of strong academic results and increasing student achievement? [LEAVE BLANK IF NOT APPLICABLE]	□ YES □ NO	Choose an item.

If replication or expansion school/model is not "high quality," stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

If expansion school did not show strong academic results and increasing student achievement for at least three years, stop scoring. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

### **Overall Risk Assessment Categories 1-4**

Risk Category	Meets?
Category 1	□ YES □X NO
Category 2	☐ YES ☐X NO
Category 3	☐ YES ☐X NO
Category 4	☐ YES ☐X NO
Number of Categories Met 2-4	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF CATEGORIES MET	0

If applicant meets two of three of Categories 2-4, stop scoring as applicant is too risky. Applicant is not eligible to receive a CSP subgrant.

### **SECTION II SUMMARY SCORE**

SUBSECTION	TOTAL POINTS		
	Questions 1-8	Questions 9-23	Findings and
В	Questions 1-8	Questions 9-25	Questioned Costs
D C			
	( 15 of 17 points)	( 10 of 14 points)	(3 of 2 points)
C*	(6 of 6 points)		
D*	(0 of 12 points)		
Points Earned		34	
Possible Points		51	
Percentage Points Earned	66.6		
(Points Earned/Possible Points X 100)			
Percentage Points Earned X 20%	13.3		

<sup>\*</sup>as applicable

Reviewer Name: Date: June 11-12, 2024 Reviewer Signature:



# **Section III. Overall School Plan Quality**

### A. Coherence and Detail

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
Points Available	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Vision, mission, and educational model	☐ School model's connection to targeted student population's needs is particularly strong.	□ School has a clear vision and mission. □ School has a clearly articulated educational model. □ Vision and mission align to school model. □ Logical connection between school model targeted student population's needs.	☐ Connection between school model and targeted population shows some weaknesses.	☐ School's vision and/or mission is unclear. ☐ School's educational model is unclear. ☐ No clear connection between vision and mission and school model. ☐ No clear connection between school model and targeted student population. ☐ Answer is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	(3) SR1 Application pgs. 2-5 The applicant will be a College Preparatory and STEM Academy school. The applicant has exposed students and families to a variety of enrichment programs with the aid of community partners.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
Curricular plan	☐ School names specific high-quality curriculum for core subjects.	□ School has a clear, well-considered plan for curriculum. □ School explains how curriculum is high quality, rigorous, and culturally relevant. □ Curriculum choices align with proposed school model.	☐ School has a clear plan for some aspects of the curriculum but no or weak plan for other parts of the curriculum.	□ School has no specific plan for curriculum or plan is confusing or vague. □ School does not explain how curriculum is high quality, rigorous, and/or culturally relevant. □ Curriculum choices do not align with proposed school model. □ Answer is too vague or confusing to evaluate.	(3) SR1 Application pgs. 5-15. SR1 is a College Preparatory and STEM Academy, the applicant will utilize Pearson's K-5 curricula including MyView Literacy, Envision Math, MyWorld Interactive Social Studies, and Elevate Science. The curricula are aligned and approved by Mississippi Dept. of
Educator development and	☐ School describes educator input in	☐ School has a clear, effective plan for	☐ School's plan aims to foster a positive	☐ School has no or vague plan for	Education. (2) SR1 Application pgs.
culture	design of coaching and/or professional development plan or	coaching and developing personnel.	and professional culture among and between school	coaching and developing personnel.	13-17. Educators will receive 10
	design of evaluation.		leadership and staff		professional

Question	Exceeds Standard  Meets Standards and  meets all of the  following:	Meets Standard  Meets all of the following:	Partially Meets Standard  Meets any of the following:	Does Not Meet Standard  Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
		☐ School has a clear, thoughtful plan for evaluation that ties to professional development and personnel decisions. ☐ School describes how it will foster a positive and professional school culture among and between leadership team and staff.	but does not articulate how.	☐ School has no or vague evaluation plan. ☐ Evaluation does not tie to professional development or personnel decisions.	development (PD) days for the calendar year. Staff will complete 7 days of PD prior to the school year, 2 days during the year, and 1 day after the school year. Students and staff will collaborate to create an inclusive learning environment. Staff will receive training on the components of culture. The school will utilize PBIS and restorative practices.
Human resources	☐ School presents fully developed, high quality human resources manual.	☐ School has a clear and feasible plan for recruiting, hiring, and compensating qualified personnel.	☐ Some parts of a personnel plan— recruitment, hiring, or compensation—	☐ School has no or vague plan for recruitment, hiring, and compensation.	(2) SR1 Application pgs. 37-39. SR1 has a feasible plan for hiring and

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
			are clear but not all three.	☐ Compensation plan is not feasible or unlikely to attract qualified staff.	compensating qualified personnel. Employee salaries are 2.5% higher than other salaries in the area.
Coherence and overall quality	☐ School plan is extremely high quality.	☐ The school plan is internally coherent. ☐ School plan aligns to school budget and budget narrative. ☐ All portions of the school plan are clear and rational. ☐ School plan is feasible. ☐ School is quality and likely to be approved or has already been approved.	☐ School plan shows promise but has some areas of weakness. ☐ School might not be approved.	☐ School plan is internally contradictory. ☐ School plan is missing significant elements and/or significant elements are too vague or confusing to evaluate. ☐ Elements of school plan raise serious questions about feasibility or legality. ☐ School plan does not align with	(2) SR1 Application pgs. The school plan is feasible and likely to be approved.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	3	2	1	0	
				proposed budget and	
				budget narrative.	
				☐ School is unlikely	
				to be approved.	
Subtotal				12	

# B. Likelihood of Proposed Leadership Team's Success

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	6	5	2	0	
Qualifications of	☐ School leader has	☐ School leader has	☐ School leader has	☐ School leader no	
school leader and	significant	strong experience in	some experience	experience leading	
leadership team	experience in	leading high-	leading schools, but	schools AND has not	
	operating	performing schools	the quality of those	completed a state or	(5)
	exceptional charter	<u>OR</u> school leader has	schools is mixed or	nationally recognized	SR1 Application pgs.
	schools.	completed a state or	ambiguous.	charter school	191
		nationally recognized	☐ School leader is	leadership program.	
		charter school	strong but other	☐ School leader only	
		leadership	identified staff or	has experience	

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	6	5	2	0	
		development	board members do	leading poor-	
		program.	not bring strong	performing schools.	
		☐ Other identified	experience or skills.	☐ School leader or	
		staff have strong	☐ School leader has	other members of	
		experience	some experience	the leadership team	
		supporting high-	leading a high-	seem anti-charter or	
		performing schools.	performing school,	confused about	
		☐ Board members	but the experience	Mississippi charter	
		have strong skills and	was less than 2 year	school law.	
		experience.	or was not in a top	☐ Answer is too	
			role.	vague or confusing to	
				evaluate.	
Strength of	☐ Board	☐ Clear lines of	☐ Board	☐ Governance of	
governance	development and	authority and roles	development or	school is confusing or	
	evaluation plan is	and responsibilities	evaluation plan	inadequate.	(5)
	particularly strong.	between board and	shows some areas of	☐ Governing	SR1 Application pgs.
		school leadership.	weakness.	documents missing	209-224.
		☐ Governance plan is		or inadequate.	The governance plan
		clear, with strong		☐ Governance plan	is clear with strong
		governing documents		raises serious	governing
		(by-laws, policies,		questions about the	documents.
		conflict of interest,		effective operation of	
				the school.	

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Score
Points Available	6	5	2	0	
		etc.) and reasonable structure.		☐ Roles and responsibilities of board and staff not delineated.	
Leadership support and development	□ Leadership develop and support plan is particularly strong.	☐ School has a clear, thoughtful plan for leadership support and evaluation that ties to professional development and personnel decisions.	□ Some parts of the leadership development plan are clear but other parts are vague.	☐ No plan for leadership support and development. ☐ Plan for leadership support and development is vague.	(5) SR1 Application pgs. 35-41. The plan is clear the leader will receive support from the board, the board will help the leader set and review goals.
Subtotal					15

C. Likelihood the School Will Result in Academic Gains for Children

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	6	5	2	0	
Clear learning goals	☐ School has very rigorous goals for student achievement and/or grade-level exit or graduation.	☐ School has clear goals for student achievement, including grade-level exit standards and graduation requirements, as applicable.	☐ School has some clear goals, but some areas of the plan are less clear.	☐ Goals for student achievement are not clear. School states it will not use state learning standards.	(5) SR1 Application pgs. 9-11. The school has clear goals for students tested in each subject area.
Support for special populations and struggling learners	☐ Plans to support special populations and/or students below grade level are especially strong.	☐ Clear, effective plans to serve special populations, such as students with disabilities and English learners. ☐ Clear, effective plans to support students below grade level to achieve growth and, over time, proficiency.	☐ Some areas of plan to serve special populations are clear and effective but some areas are weak. ☐ Some areas of plan to support students below grade level are clear but plan has some weaknesses.	□ No or vague plans to serve special populations. □ Plans to serve special populations are ineffective or may be harmful. □ No or vague plans to support students below grade level. □ Plans to serve students below grade level are ineffective.	(5) SR1 Application pgs. The applicant will hire appropriate staff to serve and support students with disabilities and gifted scholars.
Subtotal					10

# D. Reasonableness of the Budget

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	12	9	3	0	
Budget and budget narrative	☐ Budget is exceptionally strong. ☐ Budget narrative is very clear and very detailed.	☐ The overall school budget is complete and clear. ☐ Budget balances. ☐ Budget is realistic for proposed school model. ☐ Budget and budget narrative align. ☐ Budget narrative clearly describes all revenue, expenses, and assumptions.	☐ Budget is clear but miscalculated.	□ Budget does not balance. □ Budget is not complete or is missing. □ Budget narrative is vague or missing. □ Budget contains unreasonable assumptions for revenue. □ Budget contains unreasonable assumptions for expenditures. □ Budget and budget narrative do not align.	(9) SR1 Application pgs. 329-348. The school budget is clear.

Question	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard	Score
	Meets Standards and meets all of the following:	Meets all of the following:	Meets any of the following:	Meets any of the following:	
Points Available	12	9	3	0	
				☐ Budget is not realistic for proposed school model.	

## Risk Assessment 5

Risk	YES/NO	If yes, please flag the school as meeting Risk Category 5.
Did the applicant score "does not meet" for any question?	□ YES □X NO	Meets Criteria

If applicant meets Category 5, applicant may not achieve authorization and therefore may become ineligible for a CSP subgrant.

### **SECTION III SUMMARY SCORE**

SUBSECTION	TOTAL POINTS
A	(12of 15)
В	(15of 18)
С	(10 of 12)
D	(9 of 12)
Points Earned	46
Possible Points	57
Percentage Points Earned	80.7
(Points Earned/Possible Points X 100)	80.7
Percentage Points Earned X 30%	24.2

## **SUMMARY SCORE FOR SECTIONS I-III**

Section	Score
Section I—Application Requirements (50%)	39.8
Section II—Assessment of Risk (20%)	13.3
Section III—Overall School Plan Quality (30%)	24.2
TOTAL BASE SCORE	77.3

Applicant's score crosses the minimum threshold of 75 points? □X Yes	□ No
--	------

If no, stop scoring. Applicant is ineligible for a CSP subgrant.

# **Section IV. Competitive Preference Priorities**

	Met	Not Met	Points Available	
Priority	Meets ALL of the following	Meets any of the following	Points Available	Points Earned
Charter high schools: Serve grades 10-12 or, if the school's instructional model is competency- based or dropout prevention-focused, provide students a pathway to a standard Mississippi high school diploma	☐ Applicant checked box AND application meets definition of priority.	☐ Applicant did not check box. ☐ Application does not meet definition of priority.	10	Not applicable
Rural charter schools: A rural area is defined as an area with a "town" or "rural" locale code according to the National Center for Education Statistics	□X Applicant checked box AND application meets <i>definition</i> of priority.	☐ Applicant did not check box. ☐ Application does not meet definition of priority.	8	8
Charter schools with other diverse models: A conversion charter school, a turnaround school (closure/restart), a dropout prevent school, or locating in an LEA with	☐ Applicant at least one checked box AND application meets definition of at least one of the following: a conversion charter school, a turnaround school	☐ Applicant did not check box. ☐ Application does not meet definition of priority.	6	Not applicable

	Met	Not Met	Points Available	
Priority	Meets ALL of the following	Meets any of the following	Points Available	Points Earned
multiple schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement under ESEA.	(closure/restart), a drop- out prevent school, or locating in an LEA with multiple schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement under ESEA			
Educator-led and community centered charter school models: School features meaningful and ongoing engagement with current or former teachers and other educators using a community-centered approach that includes an assessment of community assets.	☐ Applicant checked box. ☐ Copy of the community asset map or assessment on which this application is based attached. ☐ A clear explanation as to how community assets as described in the submitted map or assessment informed the development of the charter school ☐ Clear explanation of what protocols and practices the applicant will implement to ensure that the charter school will use and interact with community assets on an	☐ Applicant did not check box. ☐ Application does not meet definition of priority.	6	Not applicable

	Met	Not Met	Points Available	
Priority	Adapta All afth a fallowing	Meets any of the	Points Available	Points Earned
	Meets ALL of the following	following		
	ongoing basis to create			
	and maintain strong			
	community ties.			
	☐ Timeline for key			
	milestones that span the			
	course of planning,			
	development, and			
	implementation of the			
	charter school attached.			
TOTAL				8

## STAGE 3—SCORING

Component	Total
Base Score (Sections I-III)	77.3
Competitive Preference Points (Section IV)	8
FINAL TOTAL	85.3

Reviewer Name: Date: June 11-12, 2024 Reviewer Signature:

